

### **REMARKS**

Upon entry of this amendment, claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17 and 18 are all the claims pending in the application. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16 and 19 are canceled by this amendment.

#### **I. Claim Rejections**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. (U.S. 6,185,312) in view of Barton (U.S. 6,047,374), and has rejected claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 13, 15 and 19 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. As noted above, claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16 and 19 have been canceled by this amendment, thereby rendering these rejections moot.

#### **II. Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. By this amendment, Applicants have rewritten each of these claims in independent form, thereby placing these claims in condition for allowance.

#### **III. Conclusion**

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may best be resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Hisashi INOUE et al.

By: Kenneth W. Fields  
Kenneth W. Fields  
Registration No. 52,430  
Attorney for Applicants

KWF/kjf  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
September 26, 2005